

| | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | | 10/803,039 | SUGIMOTO, TADAYUKI |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | CHAN S. PARK | 2625 |

All Participants:

(1) CHAN S. PARK.

(2) Paul Gurzo.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejections on claims 41-44 and 46-49

Claims discussed:

41-44 and 46-49

Prior art documents discussed:

Denton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,751,299)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Since Denton can be used in 103 rejections on claims 41-44 and 46-49, the examiner requested canceling claims 41-44 and 46-49 to put the case in condition for allowance. The applicant wished to receive a written office action instead.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/CHAN S PARK/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)